UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O.: Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,109	01/24/2005	Yasuji Taketsuna	122487 9497	
	7590 09/27/200°		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		·	TAMAI, KARLI	
			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,109	TAKETSUNA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamai I.E. Karl	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 11-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	· .	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application tity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidner (US 1448700) and Washizu et al. (Washizu)(US 4227108). Seidner teaches an electric machine comprising: A rotor (Fig. 1, #26) rotating around a horizontal rotation shaft (Fig. 1, #5 & 6); a stator core (Fig. 1, #13) having a plurality of slots (Fig. 1, #36, also seen in Fig. 8, #38) disposed in a direction of said rotation shaft in a manner with an opening (in the slot) facing

Art Unit: 2834

a peripheral surface of the rotor; a stator coil (Fig. 1, #34) wound substantially completely within said plurality of slots; a cooling passage (as seen in Fig. 1) formed in each of the plurality of slots such that said stator coil comes into contact with a cooling liquid (Page 2, Lines 33-46), said cooling passage includes a passage implemented by covering an opening of said slot (as seen in Fig. 8) with a sealing member (Fig. 1, #3); a feeding means (which inherently exists) for feeding the cooling liquid through said cooling passage; and a discharge portion (Fig. 1, #52) of said cooling liquid provided in an uppermost portion of said cooling passage; and a supply portion (Fig. 1, #49) of said cooling liquid provided on a side lower than the discharge portion of the cooling passage.

With respect to claim 3, Seidner teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the supply portion is provided in a lowermost portion of said cooling passage (as seen in Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 4, Seidner teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the feeding means includes pipes (as seen in Fig. 1) connected to said discharge portion and said supply portion respectively, and supply means for supplying said cooling liquid discharged from said discharge portion to said supply portion, and said apparatus further comprises prevention means (the solid walls of the pipe (which inherently exist in the pipes as taught by Seidner since it is not disclosed that they leak)) for preventing leakage of said cooling liquid, provided in said pipe.

Seidner teaches every aspect of the invention except each slot having separate sealing member. Washizu teaches the stator slots are filled only in the

Art Unit: 2834

opening (rather than on the entire inner surface) to minimize the gap between the rotor and stator and enhance operation of the machine (col. 4, lines 53-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the machine of Seidner with each slot having a sealing member to minimize the gap between the rotor and stator and enhance operation, as taught by Washizu.

4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidner (US 1448700) and Washizu et al. (Washizu)(US 4227108), in view of Hayashi (US 5770899).

With respect to claim 5, Seidner teaches the apparatus of claim 4, wherein said prevention means is provided at some portion of the pipe from a protruded outlet of said pump to an inlet of said storage means, but it does not explicitly teach that said supply means is implemented by a pump circulating said cooling liquid, or that said pipe is provided with storage means for storing said cooling liquid in such a manner that said cooling liquid is in contact with air. However, Hayashi teaches an electrical machine with a cooling supply means that comprises a pump (Fig. 3, #22) circulating cooling liquid, and a pipe (Fig. 3, #25) provided with storage means (Fig. 3, #20) for storing said cooling liquid in such a manner that said cooling liquid is in contact with air. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention use the cooling liquid supply means of Hayashi to provide the cooling liquid to the machine of Seidner

Art Unit: 2834

and Washizu because it provides a well known means for supplying a cooling liquid to an electric machine (Hayashi, Abstract).

With respect to claims 6 & 7, Seidner in view of Hayashi teaches the motor of claim 5, wherein said prevention means is provided in both the discharge and supply portions.

- 5. Claims 8 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidner (US 1448700) and Washizu et al. (Washizu)(US 4227108), in view of Kimura et al. (US 2002/0145353). Seidner and Washizu teach the motor of claims 1 & 4, but it does not teach that the motor is implemented as a distributed winding motor. However, Kimura teaches a motor that has distributed windings (Paragraph 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the motor of Seidner and Washizu in view of the windings as taught by Kimura because they make it possible to bring the induced voltage waveform closer to a sinusoidal waveform by improving the stator wiring layout and reduce distortion rate (Kimura, Paragraph 4).
- 6. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidner (US 1448700) and Washizu et al. (Washizu)(US 4227108), in view of Hayashi (US 5770899) further in view of Kimura et al. (US 2002/0145353). Seidner, Washizu, and Hayashi teach the motor of claims 5-7, but it does not teach that the motor is implemented as a distributed winding motor. However, Kimura teaches a motor that has distributed windings (Paragraph 4). It would

Art Unit: 2834

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the motor of Seidner, Washizu, and Hayashi in view of the windings as taught by Kimura because, as was stated above, they make it possible to bring the induced voltage waveform closer to a sinusoidal waveform by improving the stator wiring layout and reduce distortion rate (Kimura, Paragraph 4).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/11/2007 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (571) 273 - 8300.

Art Unit: 2834

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the motor of Seidner, Washizu, and Hayashi in view of the windings as taught by Kimura because, as was stated above, they make it possible to bring the induced voltage waveform closer to a sinusoidal waveform by improving the stator wiring layout and reduce distortion rate (Kimura, Paragraph 4).

Page 6

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/11/2007 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 2036. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (571) 273 8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197. Karl I Tamai

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER September 18, 2007